Abstract for *Vielfaltslinguistik* – 2. *Konferenz zu Typologie und Feldforschung*, 20. – 21.03.2018, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

## Spanish loan verbs in Paunaka: From verbal to non-verbal predication

by Lena Terhart, Europa-Universität Flensburg

This talk is about inputs and outputs concerning the borrowing of verbs from Spanish into Paunaka, a critically endangered Southern Arawakan language spoken in Eastern Bolivia.

Spanish verbs can be borrowed with two different input forms. The first form, the reduced infinitive, is a common input form, not only among genetically and geographically close languages, but also as a general pattern among South American languages in contact with Romance languages (Wohlgemuth 2009:81). The second form that Paunaka borrows is past participles, preferably of verbs that end in *-ar* in Spanish (with participles in *-ado*), but also of some verbs that end in *-er* or *-ir*. Wohlgemuth (2009:112-113) reports that some languages in the world borrow participles as input forms, and Napeka, a language that was spoken in the same area, possibly shares this trait with Paunaka.<sup>1</sup>

The input forms are then either verbalized, see (1), using the same strategy that Baure and Trinitario employ, two very closely related languages, or they are integrated as non-verbal predicates, see (2).<sup>2</sup> Those non-verbal predicates can be stative or active, and they can even be used to express transitive relations. This is highly uncommon cross-linguistically, because active relations are usually encoded by verbs (e.g. Langacker 1987:189, 244; Frawley 1992:140, 142; Van Valin and LaPolla 1997:82-83, Givón 2001:52). Considering native non-verbal predication structures only, this also holds for Paunaka. The integration of Spanish verbs has thus extended the semantic space of non-verbal predication to encode not only stative, but also active relations. Interestingly, non-verbal predicates of borrowed active verbs are also found in Bésiro, the language that has been dominant in the region for 300 years (Sans 2012, p.c.).

- (1) a. native verb
  tikechunÿ
  ti-ke-chu-nÿ
  3i-say-TH-1SG
  'she says to me'
- (2) a. native non-verbal predicate chubuiyubitu chubui-yu-bi-tu old.man-INTS-1PL-IAM 'we are very old now'
- b. <u>borrowed verb</u>
  tayurauchunÿ
  ti-ayurau-chu-nÿ
  3i-help-TH-1SG
  'she helps me'
- b. borrowed non-verbal predicate metu pagaubitu metu pagau-bi-tu already pay-1PL-IAM 'we have already paid it'

## Glosses:

1 = first person; 3i = 3rd person; IAM = iamitive (perfect); INTS = intensifier; PL = plural; SG = singular; TH = thematic suffix

<sup>1</sup> Since Napeka has become extinct by now and has hardly been documented there is no possibility for verification.

<sup>2</sup> Verbal and non-verbal predicates can easily be distinguished by different place of subject marking (prefixed vs. suffixed) and a different marker for irrealis reality status.

## References

Frawley, W. (1992). Linguistic semantics. Hove & London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Givón, T. (2001). Syntax: An introduction (Vol. I). Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Langacker, R. W. (1987). *Foundations of cognitive grammar* (Vol. 1: Theoretical prerequisites). Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Terhart, L. (2017). Paunaka strategies of loan verb integration. In: Jorge, Muriel; Coraline, Pradeau; Wottawa, Jane (eds.). 18e Rencontres Jeunes Chercheurs en Sciences du Langage, Jun 2015, Paris, France. Actes des 18e Rencontres Jeunes Chercheurs en Sciences du Langage. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jeunes-paris-10.1

Van Valin, R. D. & LaPolla, R. (1997). *Syntax: Structure, meaning and function*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wohlgemuth, J. (2009). *A typology of verbal borrowings*. Berlin; New York: Mouton de Gruyter.